arXiv:cs/0209025v1 [cs.NI] 21 Sep 2002

Submitted to "IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking" in August 2002

Correction to Low and Lapsley's article "Optimization Flow Control, I: Basic Algorithm and Convergence"

Andrzej Karbowski

NASK (Research and Academic Computer Network),

ul. Wwozowa 18,

02-796 Warsaw, Poland,

E-mail: A.Karbowski@ia.pw.edu.pl

Abstract

In the note an error in Low and Lapsley's article [1] is pointed out. Because of this error the proof of the Theorem 2 presented in the article is incomplete and some assessments are wrong. In the second part of the note the author proposes a correction to this proof.

1 Error in the proof of the Theorem 2

The last passage in the assessment (29) on the page 873 (the proof of Lemma 6), namely

$$\begin{aligned} D(p(t+1)) &\leq D(p(t)) - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1\right) ||\pi(t)||^2 \\ &+A_2 \sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1} ||\pi(t')|| \cdot ||\pi(t)|| \\ &\leq D(p(t)) - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1\right) ||\pi(t)||^2 \\ &+ A_2 \sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t} ||\pi(t)||^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is incorrect. Low and Lapsley justify it: *"where the last inequality holds because the convex function $\sum_i y_i^2 + z^2 - \sum_i y_i z$ attains a unique minimum over $\{(y_i, z) \mid y_i \geq 0, z \geq 0\}$ at the origin."*

But this is not true. It is sufficient to take $\dim y = 5$ and $y = [5,\ 4,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5]$, $z = 10$. The considered function takes the value -19.

It is so, because the Hessian of this function has the form (taking: $x = [y_1, y_2, \ldots, z]'$):

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

and its characteristic polynomial (e.g. calculated from the Schur's formula: for $A : n \times n$; $D : m \times m$, $B^T, C : m \times n$; $det([A, B; C, D]) = det(A) \cdot det(D - CA^{-1}B)$) will be:

$$det(H - \lambda I) = (2 - \lambda)^{n-1}(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4 - n) \tag{3}$$

where $n = \dim y$. In this way we will have eigenvalues: $\lambda_i = 2, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $\lambda_{n,n+1} = 2 \pm \sqrt{n}$. This means, that for $n > 4$ there will be one negative eigenvalue and the function will not be convex.

2 Correction to the proof of the Theorem 2

The mentioned passage in the assessment (29) in [1] (here ineq. (1)) should be changed. The changes are based on a very simple assessment:

$$\forall\, y_i, z \in \mathbb{R} \quad (y_i - z)^2 = y_i^2 - 2y_i z + z^2 \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

From which after elementary operations we get:

$$y_i z \leq \frac{y_i^2 + z^2}{2} \tag{5}$$

Let us apply the assessment (5) to all elements of a finite set of real numbers $\{y_i, i \in I\}$, where I is a set of integer indices, and sum up both sides of these inequalities over all $i \in I$. We will obtain:

$$\sum_{i \in I} y_i z \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} \left(y_i^2 + z^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\overline{I}} \cdot z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} y_i^2 \tag{6}$$

That is:

$$\sum_{i \in I} y_i z \leq \frac{1}{2} \overline{\overline{I}} \cdot z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} y_i^2 \tag{7}$$

where $\overline{\overline{I}}$ is the number of elements of the set I. We will use the assessment (7) to transform the first part of assessment (1). In particular, owing to (7), for the last component of the right hand side we will have:

$$\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1} ||\pi(t')|| \cdot ||\pi(t)|| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[t - 1 - (t - 2t_0) + 1\right] \cdot ||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1} ||\pi(t')||^2 =$$

$$= t_0||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1}||\pi(t')||^2 \tag{8}$$

Let us notice that:

$$t_0||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1}||\pi(t')||^2 = t_0||\pi(t)||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}||\pi(t)||^2 +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1}||\pi(t')||^2 = \left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t}||\pi(t')||^2 \tag{9}$$

So, for the value of $\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1}||\pi(t')|| \cdot ||\pi(t)||$ we will have the following assessment:

$$\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1}||\pi(t')|| \cdot ||\pi(t)|| \leq \left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t}||\pi(t')||^2 \tag{10}$$

The correct form of the assessment (29) in the article [1] will be then:

$$D(p(t+1)) \leq D(p(t)) - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1\right)||\pi(t)||^2$$

$$+A_2\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t-1}||\pi(t')||||\pi(t)||$$

$$\leq D(p(t)) - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1\right)||\pi(t)||^2$$

$$+A_2\left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{A_2}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t}||\pi(t')||^2 =$$

$$= D(p(t)) - \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - A_2\left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{A_2}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t}||\pi(t')||^2$$

That is:

$$D(p(t+1)) \leq D(p(t)) - \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - A_2\left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]||\pi(t)||^2 + \frac{A_2}{2}\sum_{t'=t-2t_0}^{t}||\pi(t')||^2 \tag{11}$$

Now, applying this inequality recursively to all $D(p(\tau)),\ \tau = t, t-1, \ldots, 1$, taking $\pi(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, we will get the following total assessment which replaces wrong assessment (30) in the article [1]:

$$D(p(t+1)) \leq D(p(0)) - \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - A_2\left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 + \frac{A_2}{2} \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} \sum_{t'=\tau-2t_0}^{\tau} ||\pi(t')||^2 \tag{12}$$

Let us notice, that under the assumption that $\pi(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$:

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{t} \sum_{t'=\tau-2t_0}^{\tau} ||\pi(t')||^2 = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} \sum_{t''=0}^{2t_0} ||\pi(t''+\tau-2t_0)||^2 = \sum_{t''=0}^{2t_0} \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau+t''-2t_0)||^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{t''=0}^{2t_0} \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 = (2t_0+1) \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 \tag{13}$$

Putting (13) into (12) we finally get:

$$D(p(t+1)) \leq D(p(0)) - \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - A_2\left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 + \frac{A_2}{2}(2t_0+1) \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2$$

$$= D(p(0)) - \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - A_2\left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right) - A_2\left(t_0 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 =$$

$$D(p(0)) - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - 2A_2 t_0\right) \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 \tag{14}$$

That is:

$$D(p(t+1)) \leq D(p(0)) - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - A_1 - 2A_2 t_0\right) \sum_{\tau=0}^{t} ||\pi(\tau)||^2 \tag{15}$$

References

[1] Low, S. and D.E. Lapsley, Optimization Flow Control, I: Basic Algorithm and Convergence, *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 7(6), pp. 861-874, 1999.